

National Human Rights Consultation Submission

AGWW-7QWCN2

Name: Gary Adams

Submission Text:

The proposed human rights charter if enacted with a responsibilities code will not be human rights, but limited rights. You see human rights by international consensus is a universal concept, not limited by a cost of responsibilities.

The intended human rights charter is supposed to protect our rights as citizens. But the people who need the most protection can still have their human rights abused if a code of responsibilities is enacted. Because a public servant can justify the abuse and not recognise the citizens rights by hiding behind the code of responsibilities declaring the citizen does not meet the code of responsibilities.

So how does this protect a citizen when all the government has to do is declare that you do not meet the code of responsibilities and then have the right to abuse your human rights. Where is the protection for people who are accused of not meeting the code of responsibilities. This is not human rights this is limited rights.

The committee charged with this is stating that they do not want a repeat of the villains act in the UK, where a handful of villains are accused of hiding behind the human rights act. Now what about all the UK citizens that were protected by the human rights act from the villains in the UK public service. If they impose a code of responsibilities how many UK public servants would have legitimised the human rights abuse by hiding behind a code of responsibilities.

We need to check with a UK human rights charity and check the statistics on this.

So if you are going to propose a limited rights clause that is code of responsibilities please make another declaration on the rights of people who will not have their human rights recognised by the state due to not meeting a responsibilities clause.

Please do not implement a responsibilities clause because the moment you do the act proposed is not human rights its limited rights and will justify the abuse of people public servants do not like. it will create a loop hole of abuse. thus making it a dummy act that is a feel good pretend human rights act. you see what's the point of having a human rights act to protect people from public servants that abuse them, when all the public service has to do is declare that you do not meet the code of responsibilities so they can abuse you anyway.